IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

FRIDAY, THE 30TH MARCH 2007 / 9TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 11212 of 2007(I)

PETITIONER:

N.SOMASEKHARAN NAIR,
RETIRE DETECTIVE SUB INSPECTOR,
CRIME BRANCH CID,ERNAKULAM
RESIDING AT DILIP NIVAS,PINDIMANA P.O,
KOTHAMANGALAM-686 698,ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.PIRAPPANCODE V.S.SUDHIR

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT HOME DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,KERALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL OF
POLICE (CRIMES),CRIME BRANCH CID HEADQUARTERS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A & E),
KERALA,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 039.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C).No. 11212 OF 2007 I

Dated this the 30th March, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner retired from the Police Department while working as Detective Sub Inspector. Pursuant to disciplinary proceedings initiated against him, penalty of withholding increments for two years without cumulative effect was ordered. The petitioner is not challenging the order imposing penalty. His grievance pertains to the manner of implementation of the order of penalty. He would say that the implementation is done wrongly. He has got a grievance relating to the option exercised by him in respect of 1997 pay revision. In order to redress his grievances on the above score, he has approached the Government with Ext.P10 representation.

2. Heard Govt. Pleader for the respondents.

3. In the nature of the contentions raised by the petitioner, I feel that it would be proper that the first respondent looks into the grievance of the petitioner and takes decision in accordance with law, as early as possible.

4. Hence the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to pass orders on Ext.P10 after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and calling

for the remarks of the lower authorities. Orders shall be passed within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the first respondent for necessary action.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj